

## CREDIT OPINION

12 February 2021

### RATINGS

#### hsh portfoliomanagement AoR

Domicile	Germany
Long Term Rating	Aa1
Type	LT Issuer Rating - Fgn Curr
Outlook	Stable

Please see the [ratings section](#) at the end of this report for more information. The ratings and outlook shown reflect information as of the publication date.

### Contacts

**Tamas Fuzenecker** +49.69.70730.971  
 Analyst  
 tamas.fuzenecker@moodys.com

**Max Dietz** +49.69.70730.890  
 Associate Analyst  
 max.dietz@moodys.com

**Massimo Visconti** +39.02.9148.1124  
 VP-Sr Credit Officer/Manager  
 massimo.visconti@moodys.com

### CLIENT SERVICES

Americas	1-212-553-1653
Asia Pacific	852-3551-3077
Japan	81-3-5408-4100
EMEA	44-20-7772-5454

# hsh portfoliomanagement AoR (Germany)


## Update to credit analysis

### Summary

The credit profile of [hsh portfoliomanagement AoR](#) (PM, Aa1 stable) reflects the full, unconditional and irrevocable guarantee on its outstanding debt provided by its owners, two German regional governments (Länder) — Land of Hamburg and Land of Schleswig-Holstein — that also set up [hsh finanzfonds AoR](#) (FinFo, Aa1 stable) (see Exhibit 1).

Exhibit 1

Debt issued by PM and FinFo is guaranteed by two German Länder



Sources: Issuer and Moody's Investors Service

### Credit strengths

- » Full, unconditional and irrevocable guarantee provided by two German Länder on all outstanding debt
- » Public-sector legal status, including maintenance obligation and deficiency guarantee under German public law
- » Systemic support under the German legal framework regulating wind-down entities, and strong supervision by its owners

### Credit challenges

- » Exposure to asset price movements and refinancing risk

## Rating outlook

The stable outlook on PM's rating reflects the guarantors' stable credit strength and our assumption that the entity will not issue unguaranteed debt.

## Factors that could lead to an upgrade

The rating could be upgraded if there is an improvement in both guarantors' credit strength.

## Factors that could lead to a downgrade

The rating could be downgraded in the event of a weakening of one of the guarantors' credit strength or any weakening of the guarantee or PM's legal status.

## Profile

PM is a public-sector special purpose entity established in 2015 under regional law by two German Länder — Land of Hamburg and Land of Schleswig-Holstein — which serve as its owners (50% each). The setup of the entity is in line with federal law (capital market stabilisation fund law, FMStFG) and hence is supervised by Germany's Financial Supervisory Authority.

The entity's purpose is the takeover and wind-down of a portfolio of nonperforming shipping loans from the former HSH Nordbank AG — now [Hamburg Commercial Bank AG](#) (Baa2 positive, ba2<sup>1</sup>) — as part of the bank's restructuring process. To fund the purchase of the bank's nonperforming assets, the entity is entitled to issue debt in the capital markets, which is fully, unconditionally and irrevocably guaranteed by the two Länder (on a pro rata basis).

## Detailed credit considerations

The credit profile of PM reflects our view that it is not relevant to distinguish between the entity and the two guarantors. As such, the entity's rating is derived from the credit strength of the support providers.

### Full, unconditional and irrevocable guarantee provided by two German Länder on all outstanding debt

The two owner Länder have set up the entity by law on a pro rata basis (50% each). As described in the law and the state treaty, they provide a full, unconditional and irrevocable guarantee for all debt of the entity. Therefore, we link the credit risk of the entity with that of the two Länder.

Hamburg and Schleswig-Holstein are located in the north of Germany. Hamburg has exceptionally strong economy and favourable demographics, while Schleswig-Holstein's GDP per capita remains somewhat below the German average. Similarly to the German economy, both Länder's economies took a strong hit from the pandemic last year (with Hamburg's output somewhat more burdened), also hurting their budgets: Schleswig-Holstein reported a preliminary financial deficit of 2.9% of total revenue in 2020 (after a surplus of 1.8% in the previous year), compared with Hamburg's 4.1% deficit (versus a 4.3% surplus in 2019).

According to preliminary figures, Hamburg's direct debt burden increased to a relatively high 157% of operating revenue in 2020, from 147% a year earlier, which is above the sector average. While Schleswig-Holstein's nominal debt increased, its direct debt ratio decreased to a still very high 213% last year, from 218% in 2019, driven by growth in operating revenue outpacing that in debt (because of extraordinary federal grants related to the pandemic in 2020). If we include net indirect debt, predominantly other non-self-supporting debt and guarantees to government-owned entities, both regional governments have net direct and indirect debt ratios well above the sector average.

### Public-sector legal status, including maintenance obligation and deficiency guarantee under German public law

As a public-sector entity, PM benefits from a maintenance obligation (Anstaltslast) and a deficiency guarantee (Gewährträgerhaftung) provided by its public shareholders, as well as explicit guarantees on its debt.

The maintenance obligation is a general principle of German law stipulating that the owner of a public law institution is obliged to secure its economic basis to keep it running for the complete duration of its existence, and cover possible financial gaps through subsidies or other appropriate means.

This publication does not announce a credit rating action. For any credit ratings referenced in this publication, please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on [www.moody's.com](http://www.moody's.com) for the most updated credit rating action information and rating history.

The deficiency guarantee is not considered a general principle of law, but it requires an explicit legal basis. It is defined as a direct liability, based on statute, or bylaws on the part of a regional authority, or an association under public law, with respect to the creditors of a public law institution for all of its obligations. The deficiency guarantee creates the obligation for the guarantor to intervene in the event of insolvency or liquidation of the public law institution. It creates direct claims by the creditors against the guarantor, who can, however, only be called in if the assets of the public law institution are not sufficient to satisfy the creditors. The deficiency guarantee is limited neither in time nor in amount.

The entity benefits from additional liquidity support because the two Länder have taken preventive legislative measures that will allow them to provide liquidity to the company if required. Hence, liquidity should not be a concern for the issuer.

### **Systemic support under the German legal framework regulating wind-down entities, and strong supervision by its owners**

PM was established by the two regional governments in 2015 to stabilise the former HSH Nordbank and thus also the capital markets. Germany's financial market stabilisation fund act (FMStFG §8b, a federal law) explicitly applies to PM. Therefore, the entity is subject to supervision by Germany's Financial Market Supervisory Authority as well. However, because the entity is established by the two Länder, supervision and governance are predominantly the responsibility of their governments and parliaments.

### **Exposure to asset price movements and refinancing risk**

The final cost, which would land on the balance sheets of the Länder and increase their debt levels, depends on the successful wind-down of PM's portfolio. This is influenced by asset prices, which have an impact on the entity's financial situation. In 2016, a nonperforming loan portfolio of €4.2 billion was transferred to PM at a price of €2.4 billion. This triggered a loss for the bank, which was covered by a guarantee from FinFo. Additional write-downs on this portfolio have resulted in losses for PM in 2017. Since then, the wind-down of the shipping loan portfolio continued further, with a total outstanding amount of €3.3 billion and a book value of €1.4 billion at the end of 2019.

Over the wind-down period, the entity needs to refinance itself from the capital markets, which exposes it to refinancing risk. In 2017, PM established a €2 billion debt issuance programme and a €1 billion commercial paper programme to ensure its capital market funding.

## **ESG considerations**

### **How environmental, social and governance (ESG) risks inform our credit analysis of PM**

We take account of the impact of the ESG factors when assessing sub-sovereign issuers' economic and financial strength. In the case of PM, the significance of ESG factors to the credit profile is as follows:

Environmental considerations are not material to PM's rating. It has some exposure to flood risk, but in this case, the owner regional governments would provide support.

Social risks are material to the rating. We view the coronavirus pandemic as a social risk under our ESG framework, given the substantial implications for public health and safety. For PM, the shock transmits mainly through lower ship traffic and charter rates, leading to higher impairments on the shipping loan portfolio.

Governance considerations are material to PM's rating. The governance framework is intrinsically intertwined with the supporting government, which exerts strong oversight and ultimately takes key decisions.

Further details are provided in the "Detailed credit considerations" section above. Our approach to ESG is explained in our cross-sector methodology [General Principles for Assessing ESG Risks](#).

## **Rating methodology**

We consider the entity a government-related issuer. From a credit risk profile perspective, it is not significant to distinguish between the issuer and the two guarantors. The entity's rating is derived from the credit strength of the support providers, as described in our rating methodology for [Government-Related Issuers](#), published in February 2020. For rating guaranteed debt, we additionally apply the rating methodology for [Rating Transactions Based on the Credit Substitution Approach: Letter of Credit-backed, Insured and Guaranteed Debts](#), published in May 2017.

## Ratings

Exhibit 2

<u>Category</u>	<u>Moody's Rating</u>
<b>HSH PORTFOLIOMANAGEMENT AOR</b>	
Outlook	Stable
Issuer Rating	Aa1
Bkd Senior Unsecured	Aa1
ST Issuer Rating	P-1

Source: Moody's Investors Service

## Endnotes

<sup>1</sup> The bank ratings shown in this report are the LT bank deposit rating and Baseline Credit Assessment.

© 2021 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AFFILIATES ARE THEIR CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND MATERIALS, PRODUCTS, SERVICES AND INFORMATION PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S (COLLECTIVELY, "PUBLICATIONS") MAY INCLUDE SUCH CURRENT OPINIONS. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT OR IMPAIRMENT. SEE APPLICABLE MOODY'S RATING SYMBOLS AND DEFINITIONS PUBLISHED FOR INFORMATION ON THE TYPES OF CONTRACTUAL FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS ADDRESSED BY MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS, NON-CREDIT ASSESSMENTS ("ASSESSMENTS"), AND OTHER OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. AND/OR ITS AFFILIATES. MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLICATIONS DO NOT COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS AND OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLISHES ITS PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS, AND PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS AND INAPPROPRIATE FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO USE MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS OR PUBLICATIONS WHEN MAKING AN INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY ANY PERSON AS A BENCHMARK AS THAT TERM IS DEFINED FOR REGULATORY PURPOSES AND MUST NOT BE USED IN ANY WAY THAT COULD RESULT IN THEM BEING CONSIDERED A BENCHMARK.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing its Publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY CREDIT RATING, ASSESSMENT, OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any credit rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for credit ratings opinions and services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,000 to approximately \$5,000,000. MCO and Moody's Investors Service also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of Moody's Investors Service credit ratings and credit rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold credit ratings from Moody's Investors Service and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at [www.moody.com](http://www.moody.com) under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Additional terms for Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657 AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors.

Additional terms for Japan only: Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJJK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJJK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJJK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJJK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJJK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any credit rating, agreed to pay to MJJK or MSFJ (as applicable) for credit ratings opinions and services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY125,000 to approximately JPY550,000,000.

MJJK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.

REPORT NUMBER 1254596